comy of 6

HEMORANDUM TO : Deputy Director (Plane)

THROUGH

: Acting Chief, Development Projects Division, DPD Susp.

SUBJECT

: OXCART Aircraft Deliveries

1. Until our meeting of a January I had understood that A-12 mircraft would reach a production rate of one per month before midpoint in the delivery schedule. Kelly's remark that his objective was to build five A-12's and one AF-12 during calendar 1962 comes as a surprise and disappointment. This will lengthen considerably the period between first flight and operational status.

2. The chronology as reconstructed from Kelly's monthly progress reports is as follows:

as of 13 October 1959

: first flight 1 May 1961 ecven aircraft flying the ensuing twelfth month and twelfth delivery in seventeenth month.

as of 14 September 1960

: first flight 30 August 1961 nine aircraft flying in twelve months, twelfth aircraft delivered by fifteenth month.

as of 16 December 1960.

: first aircraft delivered to WTK by 1 August 1961, minth in twelve months, twelfth (including first A-12) in fourteen months.

es of 7 Merch 1961

: - "schedules in jeopardy" by 3-h months due to delay in wing assembly (titanium extrusion problem) and engines (development problems).

as of 19 April 1961

: - "schedule and December flight date in band" -

as of 9 May 1961

: No. 1 will fly 5 December 1961; No. 3 may precede No. 2; No. 2 uncertain due to AR configuration.

OXC 2928 Copy 5 of 6 Fage 2

es of 12 September 1961

: No. 1 will fly 27 February 1962; Nos. 2 and 3 need be accelerated.

as of 28 September 1961

: at the meeting at Kelly quoted deliveries of No. 1 in

Jamuary, No. 2 in May, No. 3 in July, No. 4 in August, etc.

and including the 4 December report

as of 6 October and up to : No. 1 may fly in January 1962 with J-75 engines, but not certain.

25X1A

3. In report of 31 Cetober he observed that more assemblers could be at work on Hes. 2,3 and 4, and quotes LAC to the effect that No. 1 would fly 31 James 1962; No. 2 to in April; No. 3 to fly 31 May, and No. 4 on 31 August. for AR tests

25X1

25X1

- 25X1A 4. In his 28 Rovember report reported that one of two "A" Jigs had been moved from Building 82 to Building 309 in preparation for Air Force production. As of 21 December the "A" Jig in Building 309 was reportedly empty, the "A" jig in Building 82 contained the wing and center section assembly of aircraft No. 2; one "B" jig in Building 82 contained the forward fuselage section of sircraft No. 2 and the second "B" jig was 25X1A empty. does not have unsecorted access to all buildings and cannot report concurrently on all activity in all areas.)
 - 5. Kelly has protested that tank scaling and nacelle construction are limiting factors. However, I am fairly certain he has made only front fuselage parts for aircraft beyond No. 4. He is reluctant to run more because of the expensive bot forming operation. I believe that this holdback, together with moving of the "A" Jig, while giving the Air Force the best possible price break on following quantities, is a threat to an early operational date to CXCART. If only five A-12 aircraft are built during this year we would have one aircraft on constant test status, one tied up in AR work during the period, one two-place trainer, and only two available late in the year for accelerated service test, operational training, payload testing, etc.
 - 6. While engine development may well determine operational readiness Pratt and Whitney are estimating a fully rated engine by next summer.

OXC 2928 Copy of 6 Page 3

7. I had intended to go into this with Melly last Friday, but he did not return here after lunching with you. Unless you had the opportunity to discuss this with him at that time and are estisfied with the situation, I would recommend strongly that you impress Kelly, via a phone call, with the need for earlier deliveries.

-	/TA/D		

25X1A

25X1A BA/TA/DPD

(10 January 1962)

Distribution:

Copy #1 - DD/P

2 - AC/DPD

3 - SA/TA/DPD

4 - C/DB/DPD

5 - DB/DFD 6 - RI/DFD